

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20050322

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The MPEP, section 2144.03 states: "If applicant challenges a factual assertion as not

properly official noticed or not properly based upon common knowledge, the examiner must

support the finding with adequate evident". Accordingly, the citing of 6,252,544 (Hofferg) and

2001/004591 (Bunker), responsive to the applicant's challenge (see the Appeal brief, pages 5 and

6), is properly guided by the MPEP. The MPEP further states "If the examiner adds a reference

in the next Office action after applicant's rebuttal, and the newly added reference is added only

as directly corresponding evidence to support the prior common knowledge finding, and it does

not result in a new issue or constitute a new ground of rejection, the Office action may be made

final". In this case the added references merely to support for the official notice, responsive to

the applicant's challenge (see the examiner answer, page 9). It does not introduce any new issue

or new ground of rejection, thus is properly following the MPEP.

In response to the applicant's argument regarding the art rejection, claims 1-14 are

unpatentable as being obvious over Shimizu in view of Ahem as set forth in the rejection.

Ba Huynh

Primary Examiner

AU 2179

3/22/05

BAHUYNH NIMARY/EXAMINER